



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,477	01/09/2007	Masao Sudoh	Q94121	2361
23373	7590	08/11/2011	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			KATAKAM, SUDHAKAR	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/11/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,477 Examiner SUDHAKAR KATAKAM	SUDOH ET AL. Art Unit 1621
All Participants:	Status of Application: <i>condition for allowance</i>	
(1) <u>SUDHAKAR KATAKAM</u> . (2) <u>Jennifer Hayes</u> .	(3) _____. (4) _____. .	
Date of Interview: _____	Time: <u>1:15 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: <i>N/A</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>all pending claims</i>		
Prior art documents discussed: <i>N/A</i>		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>		
Part III. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
<i>/SUDHAKAR KATAKAM/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621</i>		
<i>(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</i>		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed the following amendments to applicants agent:

- Make claim 18 depends on claim 1;
- In claim 19 and 33, incorporate "micelle water dispersion liquid of" in the claim language;
- In claim 31, delete "preventing" and "neurodegenerative diseases, nerve disorders or diseases", and limit the claim to specific diseases;
- In claim 36, line 1, delete word "suitable".